UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/874,587	06/04/2001	Lowell Winger	CISCP249/4147 5663		
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 05/29/2007 VER LLP		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 7025	-	VO, TUNG T			
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2621	2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/874,587	WINGER, LOWELL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tung Vo	2621		

			1			
	Tung Vo	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.ia.it./tilloilailioit	(			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	*	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4,6-13,19 and 22-29.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,5,14-18 and 21	•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		Tung Vo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2621				
		ATT OTHE ZUZ I				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant argued that Singh does not teach (a) determine an End of Block for each of the examined DCT blocks, (b) a distribute of EOB length associated with a single selected frame, and (c) selecting a customized subset of iDCT algorithms for the entire video shot from a larger set of iDCT algorithms according to the distribution of EOB lengths for the single selected frame, pages 7-9 of the remarks.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with that applicant. It is submitted that Singh teaches (a) determine an End of Block for each of the examined DCT blocks, (b) a distribute of EOB length associated with a single selected frame ([0036], [0040]), and selecting a customized subset of iDCT algorithms for the entire video shot from a larger set of iDCT algorithms according to the distribution of EOB lengths for the single selected frame (8x8, 14, and 16 of fig. 2). In view of the discussion above, the claimed invention are unpatentable over Singh...

PRIMARY EXAMINER